CLR No. 34 of 2002

Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J.

Heard learned Sri A. Chatterji holding brief for Sri V.K. Bisht, Senior Advocate, for the revisionist and Sri Jagdish Prasad, learned counsel for respondent, Sunil Kumar Gupta, who is tenant of the premises in question.

Sri Jagdish Prasad, Advocate has stated at the Bar that the landlord Ram Mohan Agarwal, who is the revisionist in this case, has sold out the shop in question to the respondent-defendant Sunil Kumar Gupta during the pendency of the revision before this court.

The record reveals that the suit filed by the landlord before the court below was dismissed against which the revision has been preferred in this court. The court has not passed any interim order in favour of the revisionist.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-defendant, Sunil Kumar Gupta, this revision has rendered infructuous.

Accordingly the revision is dismissed being infructuous.

(B.C. Kandpal, J.)

12-04-2006

ISB